



TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR:

**THE APPOINTMENT FOR AN INDEPENDENT INTERNAL AUDIT SERVICE PROVIDER TO CONDUCT
AUDIT ON Q4 PERFORMANCE INFORMATION ASSESSMENT AGAINST PREDETERMINED
OBJECTIVE INDICATORS**

REFERENCE NUMBER: GTA/RFQ/2023/157

Released: 02 March 2023

Submissions Close: 09 March 2023

BEFORE 12:00PM

Queries be directed to:

Ms. Kgomotso Molete
Supply Chain Management
Bids@gauteng.net

Submissions must be e-mailed to:

Ms. Kgomotso Molete
Supply Chain Management
Bids@gauteng.net

1. INVITATION

- 1.1 This Request for Quotations (RFQ) is an invitation by Gauteng Tourism Authority to prospective respondents to submit quotations for the above-mentioned logistics for the appointment of an independent internal audit service provider to conduct audit on Q4 performance information assessment against predetermined objective indicators.
- 1.2 Procures a service provider that will assist the GTA in conducting an internal audit on Quarter 4 (Q4) performance information assessment against predetermined objective indicators.
- 1.3 The Internal Auditor is required to conduct an audit of Quarter 4 (Q4) on performance on pre-determined objectives as contained in the Annual Performance Plan (APP). Q4 has a total of 25 indicators to be audited under the financial year 2022/23.

2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Gauteng Tourism Authority (GTA) is a juristic person established in terms Section 3 of the Gauteng Tourism Act, No 10. As the provincial entity, GTA is listed as a schedule 3C under the PFMA Act 1 of 1999.
- 2.2 The GTA seeks to appoint an independent internal audit service provider with the requisite expertise and track record that can provide and maintain a professional and competent internal audit service.
 - 2.2.1 The Internal auditor is required to conduct an audit on Q4 performance information assessment against predetermined objective indicators as contained in the APP 2022/23.
 - 2.2.2 **Q4** has a total of **25 indicators** which will be audited once the service provider is appointed. The quarter's performance will be audited in April 2023.
- 2.3 The GTA does not have internal capacity to conduct the review in-house, hence the procurement process being followed to appoint a qualified service provider to conduct a review on the audit of predetermined objectives for Q4. Therefore, a 3quote procurement process is followed for the appointment of a service provider that will provide such assurance before the information is tabled to the Board.

3. PURPOSE

3.1 The aim and the purpose of the audit is to ensure:

i. Usefulness of information:

Focus on the consistency, relevance, measurability & presentation of reported performance information.

ii. Reliability of information:

Focus on the validity, accuracy & completeness of reported performance information

iii. Compliance with law and Regulations:

Report non-compliance matters in relation to the performance management and reporting processes

4. OBJECTIVES

4.1 The objective of this audit is to provide reasonable assurance on the adequacy of Q4 and overall annual performance on compliance with relevant legislation for predetermined objectives.

4.2 The objective of this audit is to provide reasonable assurance on the completeness, relevance, accuracy, consistency and SMART-principle information furnished in the Strategic and Plan as well as that the portfolio of evidence is adequate to support Key Performance Targets reflected in the Strategic Plan.

4.3 To evaluate as to whether Management comply with National Treasury Framework guidelines on Performance Management, PFMA and other related frameworks.

5. FORMAL PRICE QUOTATION

5.1 The formal all-inclusive quotation (inclusive of VAT) should indicate the total costs that will be charged for the execution of the project.

6. DELIVERABLES/SPECIFICATION

6.1 The service provider will be required to deliver the following:

6.2 AUDIT SCOPE/ SPECIFICATION

6.2.1 USEFULNESS OF PREDETERMINED INFORMATION:

- a. **Presentation:** Verify whether the portfolios of evidence are available and filed accordingly as per the predetermined Key Performance Indicators.
- b. **Completeness:** Verify whether the actual results have been included.
- c. **Timeliness:** Verify whether the targets have been achieved as per set timelines.
- d. **Relevance:** Verify that clear and logical links exist between objectives, output indicators and performance targets.
- e. **Consistency:** Verify the consistency of performance information.

6.2.2 RELIABILITY OF PREDETERMINED INFORMATION:

- a. **Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely:** Establish whether the Key Performance Indicators reflected in the Strategic Plan and quarterly management report adhere to the SMART-principles (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely).
- b. **Accuracy:** Recalculate the scores based on the formulas provided. Compare the Internal Audit results with the Performance Information results and report any variances.
- c. **Completeness:** Verify the amounts, numbers and other data relating to reported actual performance that have been recorded and report appropriately.
- d. Test the reported performance information to **relevant** source documentation to verify the validity, accuracy & completeness of reported performance information
- e. Test If **reliance** can be placed on controls, test controls in place for the validity, accuracy and completeness criteria for all targets in relation to the selected indicators.

6.2.3 COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

- a. To provide assurance on the reporting of predetermined objectives produced by GTA.

6.3 REPORTING

6.3.1 The report to management and the Audit and Risk Committee providing assurance whether the management Performance Information quarterly report meet the audit criteria above.

6.3.2 A draft report will be submitted to Monitoring and Evaluation Unit after the completion of fieldwork. Management comments will be given to Internal Auditor within the period of the audit. A final report will then be issued after receiving management comments.

6.3.3 The final audit report will be directed to the Chief Executive Officer, Ms. Sthembiso Dlamini and copy Ms. Mbalenhle Manukuza (CFO: GTA) and the Monitoring and Evaluation Team.

6.4 TIMEFRAME

6.4.1 The appointed service provider must commence the scope of work in April 2023.

6.4.2 Internal Audit will focus on Quarter 4 performance. The quarter is to be concluded the end of March 2023.

6.4.3 Quarter 4 Performance Information Audit report are to be concluded by 05 April 2023.

7. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION

7.1 Formal quotations (**inclusive of VAT**) should be accompanied by the following documents:

MANDATORY ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE DOCUMENTS (NB: FAILURE TO SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS WILL RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION)

- SBD 4 - Declaration of Interest Form

NON - MANDATORY ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE DOCUMENTS (NB: FAILURE TO SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS WILL NOT RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION)

- SBD 6.1 - Preferential Procurement Regulations 2022
- SARS PIN
- BBBEE Certificate / Sworn Affidavit signed by the deponent and stamped by the Commissioner of oath
- CSD Report
- Business Profile

8. INVOICES

- a. Payments will be made against the delivered goods / services.
- b. Invoices must indicate the task and/or output and should include a short description of goods delivered.
- c. The GTA pays for work completed to the satisfaction of the agency. No upfront payments are made for work not yet done.
- d. All invoices must be addressed to tinyiko@gauteng.net

9. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

9.1 Criteria for evaluation are as follows:

- a) The service provider will be appointed in terms of the Preferential Procurement Regulations (2022).
- b) The proposal will be evaluated using the 80/20 preferential system wherein 80 represents price and 20 for specific goals.

SPECIFIC GOAL/S	EVIDENCE REQUIRED TO EARN POINTS	TOTAL POINTS ALLOCATION
SMME (QSE / EME)	Valid B-BBEE certificate / sworn affidavit / CSD Report	20
TOTAL POINTS =		20

- c) **FAILURE ON THE PART OF A TENDERER TO SUBMIT SBD 6.1 AND PROOF OR DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED IN TERMS OF THIS TENDER TO CLAIM POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS WITH THE TENDER, WILL BE INTERPRETED TO MEAN THAT PREFERENCE POINTS FOR SPECIFIC GOALS ARE NOT CLAIMED.**
- d) This RFQ is subject to the National Treasury General Conditions of Contract.

10. RULES OF BIDDING

10.1 The rules of bidding for this assignment are as follows:

- a) The GTA reserves the right to withdraw or amend these terms of reference by notice in writing to all parties who have received the terms of reference before the closing date.
- b) The GTA reserves the right to negotiate prices with the preferred / recommended bidder.
- c) The GTA reserves the right not to appoint any service provider if the received proposals do not match its requirements.
- d) Service providers may ask for clarification via email on these terms of reference or any of its annexures up to 48 hours before the deadline for the submission of the bids.
- e) Any request for clarification must be submitted by email at Bids@gauteng.net and answers will be emailed to all service providers that require any clarity.
- f) The GTA reserves the right **NOT** to make an appointment for this project.
- g) The cost of preparing and submitting bids by prospective suppliers will be at the cost of the prospective supplier.
- h) The validity of the received bids shall be ninety (90) days.

BIDDER’S DISCLOSURE

1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

2. Bidder’s declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest¹ in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of State institution

¹ the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....
.....

3 DECLARATION

I, _____ the _____ undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium² will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the

² Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.

3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.

I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN

TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON

PREVENTING AND COMBATING ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN

MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE

FALSE.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Position

.....
Name of bidder

**PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL
PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022**

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 To be completed by the organ of state

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
(b) Specific Goals.

1.4 To be completed by the organ of state:

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS	100

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) “**tender**” means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) “**price**” means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) “**rand value**” means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) “**tender for income-generating contracts**” means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) “**the Act**” means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad Ps = 90 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - Pmin}{Pmin} \right)$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$Ps = 80 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - Pmax}{Pmax} \right) \text{ or } Ps = 90 \left(1 + \frac{Pt - Pmax}{Pmax} \right)$$

Where

- Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration
Pt = Price of tender under consideration
Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
 - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,
- then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
SMME (QSE / EME)	20	
TOTAL POINTS =	20	20

DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

4.3. Name _____ of
company/firm.....

4.4. Company _____ registration _____ number:
.....

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- One-person business/sole propriety
- Close corporation
- Public Company
- Personal Liability Company
- (Pty) Limited
- Non-Profit Company
- State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions

as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;

- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

 SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)
SURNAME AND NAME:
DATE:
ADDRESS:

